			10		U.S. COAST	& GEODETIC SUR	VEY
		<b>O</b>	18			L 23 .9 <b>34</b>	
		DEPARTM	Form 504 Ed. June, 1928 ENT OF COMME I AND GEODETIC SURVEY Patton				
	***	State: Louis:	iana				
CV		DESCRIF Tapsgraphic Hydrographic	PTIVE REP	ORJ 482			
4		Lake Fel Lake Rac	: - Y.*	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		and v	icinity.				
			F OF PARTY			•	
		V	ATTENDED ATTENDED				

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is

forwarded to the Office.

U.S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY
LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES 30

JUL 23 334

G. NO

Acc. No.

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this

5482

Field No. <u>5</u> 5482 REGISTER NO.

State Louisiana
General locality Gull abilitatico
Locality Inlin Folioity and Viginity and vicinity.
Scale 1:20,000 Date of survey April - May , 19 34
Field Party No. 5
Chief of Party Wm. D. Patterson
Surveyed by D. S. Ling and V. A. Bishop
Protracted by Wm. D. Patterson and J. C. McIlwaine
Soundings penciled by C. R. Smith
Soundings in stations feet
Plane of referenceM.L.W.
Subdivision of wire dragged areas by
Inked by H. L. Fleure
Verified by
Instructions dated
Remarks:

### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT TO ACCOMPANY

# HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. 5 (Field Number)

# LAKES FELICITY & CHIEN, UPPER END LAKE RACCOURCI

AND BAYOUS TO EASTWARD, LOUISIANA

1934

Project HT-157,

Lieut. Wm. D. Patterson,

Chief of Party.

### DATE OF INSTRUCTIONS

Instructions for this survey are dated October 27,1935.

### SURVEY METHODS

The method employed on this survey was by visual fixes using signals located by triangulation of 1934 and by topography of 1934 as shown on topographic Sheets E & G. All stations to the east of Longitude 90° 20°, except triangulation stations, were located by sextant fixes. A few positions in Bayou Lafourche were obtained by bearings as only one signal was visible. See Volume 1, Page 1, of the sounding records, for list of signals used.

The soundings were taken with sounding poles marked in feet and with hand lead and line marked in fathoms and feet.

### DANGERS

This territory is all quite shallow and in the main is navigable only for boats of 3 foot draft or less. Most of the bayous and the eastern portion of Lake Chien are used as cyster bedding.grounds. Anyone not familiar with the channel in such places is apt to hit an cyster reef. It was also noticed that where bayous suddenly widened out into small ponds or lakes the water became very shallow and unless the channel was known the boat would be very likely to go aground. None of these locally known channels are marked. Lake Felicity is free from cyster reefs.

### CHANNELS

The Texas Oil Company has marked the main channel used by boats from Lake Barre and westerly points to Lake Raccourci and easterly points by four white-slatted day beacons (hydrographic signals Mus, Hooy, Patch and Felt). This route crosses the extreme

# CHANNELS (continued)

southern end of Lake Felicity. It has not been dredged and the controlling depth is 4.0 feet. This route is used by Texas Oil Company boats and barges and by local cyster and fishing boats.

### BAYOUS

Bayou Lafourche is by far the most frequently used bayou covered by this sheet. Boats of 6 to 7 foot draft are using this bayou up as far as Golden Meadow.

Bayou Blue is another large bayou covered by this sheet but since it is filled with bars it is not generally used by large craft.

Numerous other smaller bayous in this section are used by local fishermen but as they are generally shallow in spots only small draft boats can be used to any advantage.

### COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS

This territory had not been previously surveyed.

### GEOGRAPHICAL NAMES

The geographical names as established by local usage are shown on topographic Sheets E & G and are listed in topographic descriptive reports. 75297 cm | T530|

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel S. Ling, Surveyor.

Niela a Brokot

Victor A. Bishop, Surveyor.

### NOTES BY CHIEF OF PARTY

#### SHEET 5

#### SHORELINE

The shoreline has been transferred from photostats of the air-photo sheets as compiled by Lieut. Reese. The photostats were made by a concern in New Orleans and were not properly enlarged to 1:20,000 scale from 1:24,000 scale as used by Lieut. Reese. However, by transferring square by square it is believed that the shoreline as shown on the smooth sheet is fairly accurate.

No shoreline was received from Lieut. Reese for the area above latitude 29022.51.

The shoreline has been inked in where it agrees with the hydrography. In other areas, where the shoreline appeared to be in error, we have shown the shoreline in pencil as we believe it to have existed at the time of survey. The air-photo sheets were not received until the party left the field and consequently it was outlined impossible to check the discrepancies in the field. It is believed that most of the discrepancies are due to actual change which has taken place since the time the photographs were taken. Other discrepancies may be caused by the difficulty of identifying the high water line on the photographs.

### SPACING OF LINES

The Instructions did not specify a definite spacing of sounding lines inside the bay. A spacing of two hundred meters was adopted by the Chief of Party. On April 1st the Chief of Division of Hydrography and Topography inspected the party and directed a spacing of 500 meters for inside areas where the bottom appeared to be of uniform depth. This entire sheet was done with the above spacing except in channels and bayous.

Single lines run in the bayous were run in the deepest part of the bayou to show the greatest depth that could be carried through.

### CHARACTER OF BOTTOM

The bottom is uniformly soft mud with occasional shells. There are no rocks so that no dangers are to be expected except for syster reefs. The location of syster reefs are usually shown by stakes.

Respectfully submitted.

Wm. D. Patterson, Lieut., Chief of Field Party No. 5

STATISTICS
HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. 5 (Field Number)

DATE 1934		LETTE	R	Δ¢	LUME	POSITIONS	soundings	STATUTE MILES
Apr.	23	Green	A		1	8	300	8.8
May	1	Blue	8.		2	181	947	30.6
Ħ	2	11	Ъ	2	& 3	194	1069	33.6
tt	3		c		3	122	704	25.2
17	4	n	d		3	129	709	26.2
18	5	ŧı	е	3	& 4	67	316	10.8
May	ı	Red	A		5	170	1228	46.5
Ħ	2	11	В	5	& 6	140	952	33.7
11	3	11	C		6	148	1033	41.2
**	4	II.	D		6	69	466	15.5
11	5	!1	Ē		7	98	600	21.5
TOTA	LS 1:	l days				1326	8324	293.6

Hiere change have been taken complete

MEMORANDUM TO ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT SHEET NO. T-5297

Station Chien was visited by the field inspection party on July 7, 1934. That portion in question is a grass covered island. The shore line as shown by the topographic sheet G is incorrect and it appears as shown on the chart compiled from air photos except for the small island at the very end which has disappeared.

Station Felic was visited by the field inspection party on July 7. The shore line as shown by the topographic sheet G is incorrect and is as shown on the air photo compilation sheet. However, the shore line has changed considerably due to the last storm which washed away the shore so that the station is about 8 meters from the shore.

The bayou running east from triangulation station Blue at latitude 29°16' to 29°17' and longitude 90°15' to 90°16' was changed. The photographs covering this area were very dim, a so photographs on adjoining flight to east. The shore line could not be accurately determined and due to badly tilted pictures, precise orientation was almost impossible.

The stream running morth and south at latitude 29°20' to 29°21' and longitude 90°22' to 90°23' is correct as to air photo sheet in direction and position. Hydrographic position #68A is rejected as incorrect. This is further proved by inability to check numbers of soundings with time. Seven were taken, and only five plotted, so time could not possibly check.

On\_Bayou Blue at latitude 29°20' to 29°21' and longitude 90°19: to 90°20' hydrographic position #740 plotted incorrectly. Using the three point fix position #740 checks in center of channel.

At latitude 29°20' to 29°21' and longitude 90°19' to 90°20' hydrographic positions 53C and 54C are plotted incorrectly. Correct plot puts them in center of channel.

Hydrographic position #145A located in latitude 29°20; to 29°21; and longitude 90°21; to 90°22; rejected. The correct plot places it in center of channel.

The shore line located in latitude 29°20' to 29°21' and longitude 90°19' to 90°20' is correct as to the photos. However, at hydrographic positions #62, 63, 646 the shore has probably washed away. This is probably true of the east shore line at hydrographic position 1466 at latitude 29°21' to 29°22' and longitude 90°21' to 90°22'; at hydrographic position 153% at latitude 29°20' to 29°21' and longitude 90°21' to 90°22'; at hydrographic position 26% at latitude 29°18' to 29°19' and longitude 90°20' to 90°21'.

Hydrographic position #125 was found in error. This is located in latitude 29 20 to 29 21 and longitude 90 22 to 90 23. The corrected position was plotted and found to fall in the channel.

No discrepancy can be found in position 190 and 1915 or in the air photo compilation sheet located at latitude 29°18' to 29°19' and longitude 90°21' to 90°22'. The shore line has in all probability washed away, in that area. Position 77B is rejected but the error is

not sufficient to cover the discrepancy.

At latitude 29°18' to 29°19' and longitude 90°20° to 90°21' the shore line due east of position 171B has apparently washed smooth. The shore along entire channel seems to have been carried away considerably. This is probably true of position 46% located at latitude 29°17' to 29°18' and longitude 90°22' to 90°23'.

Hydrographic station Basin was visited by the field inspection party on July 7. This station was reobserved with theodolite and recomputed from triangulation stations Felic, Chien, Hump and Rack. A new radial plot was made of this particular area and the photos oriented accordingly. The shore line was changed at the entrance of the bayou and south of station Basin. This change checked with the notes of the field inspection party.

At latitude 29°20' to 29°21' and 90°21' to 90°23' hydrographic station #109A was rejected. The left angle was used at #110A and the right angle was changed from 70°50' to 69°50'. The left angle was used at #111a and the right angle was changed from 71°23' to 70°23'. The right angle was used at 114A and the left angle was changed from 43°55' to 43°25', asthe revised angle readings check in with the topography.

In general the discrepancies between the air photo compilation sheet and the hydrographic sheet are due to the fact that the soundings were put on the hydrographic sheet before the detail was traced. All the soundings did not fall inside of the channel, but in some cases the positions could have been made to fall inside of the channel due to the small variation in positions of the fixes.

M. H. Reese.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tide Reducers are approved in 7 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5482

Locality Lake Felicity and Vicinity, Timbalier Bay and Bayou Lafourche, La.

Chief of Party: W. D. Patterson in 1934.

Plane of reference is mean low water reading.

2.8 ft. on tide staff at Leeville

**8.1** ft. below B. M. 1

1.3 ft. on tide staff at Bayou John Charles

1.8 ft. below B. M. 1

2.1 ft. on tide staff at Station Felt.

1.7 ft. below B. M. 1

Height of mean high water above plane of reference is 1.3 ft. at Leeville; 1.1 ft. at Bayou John Charles; 1.2 ft. at Station Felt.

Condition of records satisfactory except as noted below:

Chief, Division of Tides and Currents

# HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. 5482

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	1326
Number of positions checked	86
Number of positions revised	6
Number of soundings recorded	8324
Number of soundings revised	18
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	••••

Cartographer:	••••••	••••	
Verification of protracting Verification & inhing of reche & shocks	H.L. FLEMER	Times	14
Verification of inning by	-H.L.FLEMER	Thus	64
Borton by	-faceof	Times	5 -

# Date. Oct 29 1934

# GEOGRAPHIC NAMES LOUISIANA

Survey	No. H-5482	_
*.		

Chart	Nο		

Diagram No. 1116

\*, Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.

 $\rlap/c$ , Not Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.

Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.

Partial verification of manies nos, 1934 Underlined in red. Other manner want the

				ventuation of	
Status	Name on Survey **	Name on Chart	New Names in local use	Names assigned by Field	Location
	Lake Raccourci	Lake Raccourci			Long 90° 20'
•	Lake Barre	Lake Barre	1.		Lat 29° 15 Long 90° 29
	Lake Felicity	Lake Felicity			Let 29° 15
	Lake Chien	Lake Chien			Lat 29 18'
	Zario Gillen				Lat 29° 20
		Lake Billiot			Lat 29° 20
	Little Lake				Lat 29° 15
	Grand Bayou Felicity				Long 90° 14 Lat 29° 16'
······	Bayou LaFourcher	Bayou La Fourche			Long you it
	Grand Bayou Blue	Bayou L'Eau Bleu			Long 90° 17'
		0120		Bayou John Charles	Lat - Long 90° 29
	Bayou Jean Le Croix	St. Jean Charles Bayou		Oner 163	Lat 29° 18 Long 90° 25 Lat 29° 20'
	Bay Courant	Bay Courant			Long 90° 21' Lat 29° 19'
	Bayou Chien				Long 90° 23. Lat 29° 19'
					<u> </u>
1					
-					<del></del>
		· .			
·					
	Note. No Names appe	sared on Smooth Sheet.	All names	in this colu	мл
	are taken from T-				
. ]					<b>M</b> I

## Report on H 5482

Chief of Party--Wm. D. Patterson. Protracted by -- Wm. D. Patterson and J.C. McIlwaine. Verified and inked by H.L. Flemer. Surveyed on April, Map 1934. Surveyed by D.S. Ling.and V.A. Bishop. Soundings plotted by C.R. Smith.

- The records conform to the requirements of the General Instructions.
- The usual depth curves can be completely drawn.
- 3. The field plotting was complete.
- 4. The changes in shore lines mentioned in the memorandum accompanying the descriptive report were made both on the smooth sheet and the photo compilation (T5297).
- 5. No junctions were made as the adjoining sheets were not in the office when this sheet was verified.
- 2 smul filed with T-6067. 11/6/35 x440xm. 6. Remarks.
  - (a) Form 524 (Recoverable Stations) and the special chart for Lighthouse Service were not in the files. (b) A duplicate of Form 567 (Landmarks for Charts) is on file in the Carto-
  - graphic Section (Letter 424-1934).
  - (c) A small island is shown about 110 M. NE of position 17e Long. 90°24.2 Lat. 29°16.2' which does not appear on T-5297.

    (d) A small island in Bayou Blue at Latitude 29°16.3' is shown on T-5297 but
  - does not appear on either the smooth sheet or the records.

H.L. Flemer.

# Section of Field Records

# REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 5482 (1934)

Lake Felicity and Vicinity, Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana Instructions dated October 27, 1933 (W. D. Patterson) Surveyed in 1934

# Pole and Hand Lead Soundings - Three Point Fixes on Shore Signals.

Chief of Party - W. D. Patterson.

Surveyed by - D. S. Ling, V. A. Bishop.

Protracted by - W. D. Patterson, J. C. McIlwaine.

Soundings penciled by - C. R. Smith.

Verified and Inked by - H. L. Flemer.

# 1. Condition of Records.

450

7

The records are neat and legible and conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual, with the following exceptions:

Evidence that the plotting of the topographic and hydrographic signals had been checked by the field party was lacking since the initials of the checker were omitted. This has been accomplished in the office.

# 2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project.

The survey satisfies the instructions for the project.

# 3. Sounding Line Crossings.

The cross lines run are satisfactory and in good agreement.

### 4. Depth Curves.

The usual depth curves may be satisfactorily drawn.

### 5. Junctions with Contemporary Surveys.

The junctions with H-5541 (1934) on the west and with H-5480 and H-5481 (1934) on the south will be considered in the reviews of those surveys.

# 6. Comparison with Prior Surveys.

There are no prior surveys within the limits of H-5482 (1934).

### 7. Comparison with Chart No. 197.

The chart shows no hydrography within the area covered by H-5482 (1934).

8. Field Plotting.

The field plotting was satisfactory.

9. Additional Field Work Recommended.

No additional field work is recommended.

10. Superseding Old Surveys.

There are no surveys to be superseded.

11. Reviewed by - John G. Ladd, November, 1934.

Inspected by - A. L. Shalowitz.

Examined and approved:

C. K. Green, & N Hulen Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Section of Field Work

Chief, Division of Charts

Chief, Division of H. & T.

(4) 113658 July applied 9/82 This